IN FACT AND IN LAW

Labour

July 2001

Industrial Accidents and Occupational Diseases

The Case Law on Applications for the Transfer of Costs Following an Attack by a Beneficiary: A Change of Course?

By Érik Sabbatini

Section 326 of An Act respecting Industrial Accidents and Occupational Diseases (the "AIAOD") allows an employer to obtain the transfer of the cost of benefits paid by the CSST if the industrial accident in question is "imputable to a third person" and the allocation of the cost of such benefits would cause the employer to "unduly support" such cost.

For several years, the leading case law of the Commission d'appel en matière de lésions professionnelles (the "CALP") and, thereafter, of the Commission des lésions professionnelles (the "CLP"), were generally unfavorable to applications by Quebec health care institutions attempting to obtain the transfer of the costs resulting from an attack by a beneficiary on an employee.

Certain isolated decisions, namely Institut Philippe Pinel de Montréal and Robert Deschambault, [1995] C.A.L.P. 652, did allow applications for the transfer of the employer's costs. In this case, the CALP, basing itself on a test which considers the specific risk related to the employer's economic activity, decided that the economic activity in question was the provision of psychiatric care to patients and not the control of aggressive patients. Consequently, the CALP ruled that it would be unfair if the employer alone were to absorb the costs of such accidents and, accordingly, it granted the Institute's applications to transfer its costs.



Unfortunately, the subsequent case law did not follow the *Institut Pinel* decision, and other CLP commissioners refused similar applications by the same employer. These decisions constitute the majority trend, which considers the risks of attack as being part of the inherent risks of such an establishment, given that its mission is to provide care to patients with psychiatric problems.

Concurrently, and even more surprisingly, several decisions relied on the same grounds to refuse applications by establishments that were not psychiatric care providers, but rather hospital centres for short-term care or residential and long-term care centres.

Creating dissent among the commissioners, other decisions approved applications for the transfer of costs made by the same types of establishments. Our firm represented some of the establishments concerned by this jurisprudential trend.¹

At the present time, at least five recent decisions, one of which involved our firm, approved one after the other applications for the transfer of costs made by short and long term care establishments.² These decisions essentially concluded that an attack on an employee by a beneficiary does not result from the specific risks involved in the employer's economic activity.



- CSST and Centre hospitalier Anna-Laberge, 92282-62C-9711, 22-06-99 (Carl Lessard represented the establishment); Höpital Sacré-Cœur de Montréal and CSST, 129246-64-9912, 10-07-00 and Höpital Sacré-Cœur de Montréal and CSST, 146365-72-0009, 12-01-01 (Jean Beauregard represented the establishment); C.H / C.A. Gouin-Rosemont and CSST, 103385-62-9807, 22-06-99 (Érik Sabbatini represented the establishment).
- ² CHSLD de mon quartier and CSST, 144721-63-0008 and 144722-63-0008, 22-03-01 (Érik Sabbatini represented the establishment); Centre hospitalier Royal Victoria (Pavillon Institut thoracique de Montréal) and CSST, 146248-71-0009, 21-03-01; Centre hospitalier Pierre-Boucher and CSST, 150948-629-0011, 10-04-01; CHSLD Résidence de l'Estrie and CSST, 141836-05-0006, 02-03-01; Centre hospitalier St-Eustache and CSST, 145943-64-0009, 15-02-01.



Jean Beauregard has been a ember of the Quebec Bar since 1982 and specializes in



Carl Lessard has been a member of the Quebec Bar since 1988 and specializes in Labour law

Érik Sabbatini has been a member of the Quebec Bar since 1993 and specializes in Labour law



In particular, one of these decisions states that subsequent to the reform of employer classification, employers are now classified by the *nature of their activities* rather than according to all the economic activities carried out in the establishment. Therefore, employers would benefit from equitable decisions extending the scope of the notion of "specific risk" which is the basis for the analysis of the element of "injustice" provided for at section 326 of the AIAOD. Given that an employer's assessment rate now takes into account the specific risks related to the nature of an employee's activities, the analysis of the element of injustice should be based upon this criterion from now on.

Skeptics might argue that this new manner of handling applications for the transfer of costs is of theoretical interest. However, regardless of the approach used to handle applications for the transfer of costs, the fact remains that the tide seems to have turned in favour of long-term and shortterm care establishments in Quebec.

It remains to be seen whether this new jurisprudential trend will be confirmed in future cases ...

Érik Sabbatini

You can contact any of the following members of the Labour group in relation with this bulletin.

at our Montréal office Pierre L. Baribeau Jean Beauregard Anne Boyer Monique Brassard Denis Charest Michel Desrosiers Jocelyne Forget Philippe Frère Alain Gascon Michel Gélinas Isabelle Gosselin Jean-François Hotte Monique Lagacé Guy Lemay Carl Lessard Dominique L'Heureux Catherine Maheu Véronique Morin André Paquette Marie-Claude Perreault Érik Sabbatini Antoine Trahan

at our Québec City office Pierre Beaudoin Patrick Bernier Danielle Côté Christian R. Drolet Pierre C. Gagnon Claude Larose

at our Laval office Serge Benoît Pierre Daviault Gilles Paquette René Paquette

Montréal Suite 4000 1 Place Ville Marie

Montréal, Quebec H3B 4M4

(514) 871-1522 Fax: (514) 871-8977

Telephone:

2

Québec City

Suite 500 925 chemin Saint-Louis Québec, Quebec G1S 1C1

Telephone: (418) 688-5000 Fax:

(418) 688-3458

Laval

Suite 500 3080 boul. Le Carrefour Laval, Quebec H7T 2R5

Telephone: (450) 978-8100 Fax: (450) 978-8111 Suite 1810 360, Albert Street Ottawa, Ontario

Ottawa

K1R 7X7 Telephone:

(613) 594-4936 Fax: (613) 594-8783 **Web Site**

www.laverydebilly.com

All rights of reproduction reserved. This bulletin provides our clients with aeneral comments on recent legal developments. The texts are not legal opinions. Readers should not act solely on the information contained herein.

July 2001 Lavery, de Billy