

### No return to work in the foreseeable future: an undue hardship for employers

■ ARIANE VILLEMAIRE  
with the collaboration of Céleste-Brouillard Ross

Reversing a decision of the Tribunal administratif du travail ("TAT"), the Superior Court of Québec confirmed that the employer will meet the burden of demonstrating undue hardship, thus justifying a non-discriminatory administrative dismissal, where there is no evidence that the employee will be able to return to work in the foreseeable future.<sup>1</sup>

#### The facts

On June 19<sup>th</sup>, the Superior Court<sup>2</sup> quashed a decision rendered by Administrative Judge Bernard Marceau of the TAT on November 22, 2016.<sup>3</sup>

In that decision, the TAT struck down a municipal resolution dismissing the City of Forestville's director of public works due to poor health which had prevented him from working for over 21 months.

#### The decision

The Superior Court applied the standard of reasonableness and concluded that the administrative judge's decision was unreasonable.

The Court noted that the administrative judge had correctly identified the applicable legal principle when he wrote that [TRANSLATION] "with respect to the right to administratively dismiss the complainant due to a prolonged absence, the case law requires evidence of an inability to perform one's work in the near or foreseeable future."<sup>4</sup>

That being said, the administrative judge committed two errors.

First, the Court held that the City did not have to introduce medical evidence establishing that the employee was unfit to return to work. The undisputed evidence before the administrative judge was sufficient. Even the employee's attending physician concluded that the employee was incapable of returning to work in the near future.<sup>5</sup>

The second error in law identified by the Court involved the application of the notions of "accommodation" and "undue hardship". It has been established in the case law that there are limits to the employer's duty to accommodate where an employee is unable to return to work in the foreseeable future and is incapacitated for an indeterminate period of time.<sup>6</sup> It is also recognized that the employer will have established undue hardship where an employee cannot return to work in the foreseeable future.<sup>7</sup>

#### Conclusion

The Superior Court held that the fact that the employee could not provide evidence that he would be able to return to work in the foreseeable future constituted undue hardship for the City. The Court therefore held that the dismissal was not discriminatory and that the only reasonable conclusion was to uphold the decision of the municipal council terminating the employment.<sup>8</sup>

■ ARIANE VILLEMAIRE  
514 877-2910  
avillemaire@lavery.ca

<sup>1</sup> *Ville de Forestville c. Tribunal administratif du travail*, 2017 QCCS 3999, at para 55.

<sup>2</sup> *Ville de Forestville c. Tribunal administratif du travail*, 2017 QCCS 3999.

<sup>3</sup> *Gravel c. Forestville (Ville de)*, 2016 QCTAT 6666.


<sup>4</sup> *Ville de Forestville c. Tribunal administratif du travail*, 2017 QCCS 3999, at paras 35 and 40.

<sup>5</sup> *Ibid.* at paras 43-46.

<sup>6</sup> *Ibid.* at para 49.

<sup>7</sup> *Ibid.* at para 50.

<sup>8</sup> *Ibid.* at para 57.



YOU CAN CONTACT THE MEMBERS OF THE LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT GROUP WITH ANY QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS NEWSLETTER.

PIERRE-L. BARIBEAU	pbaribeau@lavery.ca	514 877-2965
VALÉRIE BELLE-ISLE, CHRP	vbelleisle@lavery.ca	418 266-3059
DAVE BOUCHARD	dabouchard@lavery.ca	819 346-3411
JEAN BOULET	jboulet@lavery.ca	819 373-4370
ÉLODIE BRUNET, CHRP	ebrunet@lavery.ca	514 878-5422
BRITTANY CARSON	bcarson@lavery.ca	514 877-3027
GENEVIÈVE CHAMBERLAND	gchamberland@lavery.ca	819 346-2562
RAFAËLLE E.CHAMPAGNE	rchampagne@lavery.ca	514 877-2923
NICOLAS COURCY	ncourcy@lavery.ca	819 373-8225
MICHEL DESROSNIERS	mdesrosiers@lavery.ca	514 877-2939
NORMAN A. DIONNE	ndionne@lavery.ca	514 877-3070
CHARLOTTE FORTIN	cfortin@lavery.ca	418 266-3074
SIMON GAGNÉ	sgagne@lavery.ca	514 877-2916
DANIELLE GAUTHIER	dgauthier@lavery.ca	819 346-8073
MICHEL GÉLINAS	mgelinas@lavery.ca	514 877-2984
MARIE-JOSÉE HÉTU	mjhetu@lavery.ca	819 373-4274
MARIE-HÉLÈNE JOLICOEUR	mhjolicoeur@lavery.ca	514 877-2955
NICOLAS JOUBERT	njoubert@lavery.ca	514 877-2918
JOSIANE L'HEUREUX	jlheureux@lavery.ca	514 877-2954
NADINE LANDRY	nlandry@lavery.ca	514 878-5668
CLAUDE LAROSE	clarose@lavery.ca	418 266-3062
MYRIAM LAVALLÉE	mlavallee@lavery.ca	819 373-0339
GUY LAVOIE, CIRC	guy.lavoie@lavery.ca	514 877-3030
GUY LEMAY, CIRC	glemay@lavery.ca	514 877-2966
CARL LESSARD	clessard@lavery.ca	514 877-2963
JEAN SÉBASTIEN MASSOL, CIRC	jmassol@lavery.ca	514 877-2919
ZÉINEB MELLOULI	zmellouli@lavery.ca	514 877-3056
VÉRONIQUE MORIN, CIRC	vmorin@lavery.ca	514 877-3082
JESSICA PARENT	jp Parent@lavery.ca	819 373-4100
CATHERINE PARISEAULT	cpariseault@lavery.ca	514 878-5448
SYLVAIN POIRIER	spoirier@lavery.ca	514 877-2942
CLOÉ POTVIN	cpotvin@lavery.ca	514 877-3051
MARIE-HÉLÈNE RIVERIN	mhriverin@lavery.ca	418 266-3082
ARIANE VILLEMAIRE	avillemaire@lavery.ca	514 877-2910

© All rights reserved 2017 ► LAVÉRY, DE BILLY, L.L.P. ► LAWYERS

*Pour recevoir notre bulletin en français, veuillez envoyer un courriel à [info@lavery.ca](mailto:info@lavery.ca).*

This bulletin provides our clients with general comments on recent legal developments. The texts are not legal opinions. Readers should not act solely on the information contained herein.