IN FACT AND IN LAW

Labour Law

June 2000

The first ruling on abusive use of the Internet

By Anne Boyer

Given the dramatic increase in Internet and e-mail use by employees in a company setting, it is in the interests of all employers to implement policies to better control the growing use and misuse of this form of communication.

In a grievance involving the *Communications Energy and Paperworkers Union of Canada, Local* 522 and *CAE Electronics Ltd.*, rendered on January 26, 2000, the arbitrator, Jean-Pierre Tremblay, examined a policy dealing with Internet use in the workplace.

CAE Electronics designs and manufactures flight simulators and other equipment used in civil and military airplanes. Mr. Petruzzi had worked at CAE since 1985 as a grade 3 mechanical-technical inspector. His duties consisted basically of inspecting and accepting or rejecting parts and mechanical systems submitted to him for assessment. Mr. Petruzzi could decide, on his own initiative, whether or not he needed to work overtime. His work did not require the use of his computer except on very rare occasions.

Only certain employees were given Internet access. Also, the employer had implemented a policy on Internet use, the thrust of which was that employees could use the Internet only for work-related purposes, and only during working hours. Personal use of the Internet outside business hours was a benefit granted only to certain authorized staff members.



Mr. Petruzzi formally undertook to comply with the employer's policy on Internet use by countersigning the document that set forth the policy. The employer also had a very strict zerotolerance policy prohibiting the use or transmission of pornographic or sexual material, images or photographs.

In January, February, March, and April of 1999, Mr. Petruzzi's overtime increased significantly but he was still behind in his workload. His immediate superior gradually noticed that the



grievant was spending more time at his computer, despite the fact that he did not need to use it in order to do his work.

The employer conducted an investigation and discovered that the grievant was using his computer for personal purposes during working hours, and visiting various websites. Mr. Petruzzi's total Internet-use time was 46.21 hours in January, 33.31 hours in February, 120.53 hours in March, 101.52 hours in April and 26.27 hours in May. The majority of this time was spent visiting pornographic Web sites. The employer established these facts by filing the company's monthly Internetuse report.

On the basis of the evidence submitted to the arbitrator, the employer had shown that for the months of January, February, March, April and May, Mr. Petruzzi's overtime corresponded almost exactly to his Internet-use time. The employer dismissed Mr. Petruzzi, who contested his dismissal by filing a grievance.

The arbitrator upheld the dismissal and found that not only had the employee stolen a significant percentage of his employer's time, but he had also contravened the employer's policy on Internet use as well as its policy on the use of material of a pornographic or sexual nature. Accordingly the arbitrator upheld the dismissal and characterized Mr. Petruzzi's misconduct, in objective terms, as serious wrongdoing.

Anne Boyer has been a member of the Bar of Québec since 1999 and specializes in Labour Law



Accordingly, using the Internet in a manner inconsistent with the employer's policy, and the extent and seriousness of the misconduct in the circumstances, i.e., clearly abusive use of the Internet by an employee, was accepted as sufficient grounds for upholding the dismissal of an employee.

This ruling is undoubtedly the first in a long series to come of cases on the subject of improper Internet use in the workplace. Given the relative recentness of this phenomenon in the workplace, it is becoming increasingly necessary that employers lay the ground rules. Should you require our assistance, we would be pleased to help you develop an internal Internet use and e-mail policy or review the one you currently have in place. Please do not hesitate to contact us.

Anne Boyer

You can contact any of the following members of the Labour Law group in relation with this bulletin.

at our Montréal office Jacques Audette Pierre L. Baribeau Jean Beauregard Yann Bernard Anne Bover Monique Brassard **Denis Charest** Alexis-François Charette François Charette Pierre Daviault **Jocelyne Forget** Philippe Frère Alain Gascon Michel Gélinas Isabelle Gosselin Jean-François Hotte Monique Lagacé **Guy Lemay** Carl Lessard Dominique L. L'Heureux Catherine Maheu

Véronique Morin Gilles Paquette René Paquette Marie-Claude Perreault Jean Pomminville Érik Sabbatini

at our Québec City office Pierre Beaudoin Danielle Côté Christian R. Drolet Pierre-C. Gagnon François Houde Bernard Jacob Claude Larose

at our Laval office Serge Benoît Michel Desrosiers

Montréal

Suite 4000 1 Place Ville Marie Montréal, Quebec H3B 4M4

Telephone: (514) 871-1522 Fax: (514) 871-8977

2

Québec City

Suite 500 925 chemin Saint-Louis Québec, Quebec G1S 1C1

Telephone: (418) 688-5000 Fax: (418) 688-3458

Laval

Suite 500 3080 boul. Le Carrefour Laval, Quebec H7T 2R5

Telephone: (450) 978-8100 Fax: (450) 978-8111

Ottawa

Suite 1810 360 Albert Street Ottawa, Ontario K1R 7X7

Telephone: (613) 594-4936 Fax: (613) 594-8783

Associated Firm

Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP Toronto Calgary Vancouver London (England) Beijing

Web Site

www.laverydebilly.com

All rights of reproduction reserved. This bulletin provides our clients with general comments on recent legal developments. The texts are not legal opinions. Readers should not act solely on the information contained herein.



Lavery, de Billy June 2000