

Legal newsletter for the health and social services network and health-care professionals

lavery
Lawyers

THREE IMPORTANT RULINGS RENDERED IN 2015 BY THE TRIBUNAL ADMINISTRATIF DU QUÉBEC REGARDING ATTENDING PHYSICIANS

SIMON GAGNÉ and
CHARLES OLIVIER THIBEAULT

OVER THE PAST YEAR, THE TRIBUNAL ADMINISTRATIF DU QUÉBEC (TAQ) HAS ISSUED SEVERAL RULINGS DEALING WITH OVERSIGHT OF THE MEDICAL PRACTICE OF PROFESSIONALS WORKING IN HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES INSTITUTIONS. SEVERAL OF THESE RULINGS WILL BE OF INTEREST TO INSTITUTIONS SINCE THEY SET OUT PRINCIPLES THAT TEND TO CONFIRM THE EXISTENCE OF A FORM OF MANAGEMENT RIGHTS OVER PHYSICIANS, DESPITE THE LACK OF THE TRADITIONAL RELATIONSHIP OF SUBORDINATION BETWEEN SUCH INSTITUTIONS AND THEIR PHYSICIANS.

A physician's refusal to take a refresher course is a sufficient reason for the institution to refuse to renew his status and privileges¹

In a decision rendered on August 18, 2015, the TAQ upheld an institution's decision not to renew the status and privileges of one of its physicians after he refused to take a refresher course to acquire the competencies necessary to his new functions. In this case, the department head had required that the physician take the refresher course so as to enable him to return to clinical practice after having previously devoted his practice exclusively to research work.

In its ruling, the TAQ set out several principles that are essential to a good understanding of the internal functioning of institutions and the process for renewing a physician's status and privileges, specifically:

- ▶ In the context of managing a medical service, the institution may require a physician to take a refresher course to acquire the competencies necessary to his practice;

- ▶ The physician's professional privileges are not vested rights;
- ▶ The process for renewing a physician's status and privileges set out in section 238 of the *Act Respecting Health Services and Social Services*² is an administrative matter, distinct from the disciplinary process provided for in section 249 of the same statute.

As for the review that the TAQ must conduct where a non-renewal is contested, the TAQ noted, in particular:

- ▶ That it must assess whether the physician's situation justified the Board of Directors' decision not to renew the physician's privileges in light of the specific requirements of the institution;
- ▶ That it sits *de novo* and is therefore not limited to the facts originally at issue in the decision of the institution's Board of Directors.

¹ A. c. *Centre Hospitalier A**, 2015 QCTAQ 08321 (application for internal review).

² CQLR, c. S-4.2.

Teaching: an obligation of physicians practising in a university hospital centre³

In a ruling rendered on April 30, 2015, the TAQ upheld the decision by the Board of Directors of a university hospital centre which refused to renew the status and privileges of a physician who failed to fulfill the obligations associated with the enjoyment of those privileges. At the outset, it should be noted that the clinical skills of the applicant were not at issue in this case, rather, it was the applicant's behaviour towards teaching that was problematic. The TAQ found that the physician had always been properly informed of the complaints made against him in the area of teaching, but he had chosen to ignore them, deny they had any basis, and stubbornly refused to act upon the recommendations made to him, while employing an attitude of defiance and clearly seeking to place the blame on others.

Thus, despite the numerous chances afforded to the physician, he had demonstrated very little improvement or interest. Accordingly, the institution had no other option but refuse to renew his status and privileges.

The suspension of privileges imposed on a physician must be served despite the right of appeal⁴

In a ruling rendered on February 23, 2015, the TAQ refused a request made by a physician to grant a stay of the enforcement of a resolution adopted by a hospital imposing a suspension of her status and privileges for one month. The physician, a general practitioner with obstetric privileges at a health and social services centre, had a solo practice and delivered babies of the patients she followed. The TAQ found that the physician would suffer no different or greater prejudice than that inherent in the application of the sanction itself. In addition, the physician had not shown that she would suffer serious and irreparable harm given that, in the Tribunal's view, the financial prejudice she would suffer was quantifiable and not irreparable. As for the prejudice suffered by the patients, the institution would be able to remedy any harm since they would be cared for by other physicians in the department in accordance with the normal procedures in place for replacing the applicant when she was away on vacation or at a conference.

SIMON GAGNÉ

514 877-2916

sgagne@lavery.ca

CHARLES OLIVIER THIBEAULT

514 877-3086

cothibeault@lavery.ca

³ *R.A. c. Centre Hospitalier A**, 2015 QCTAQ 041038 (application for judicial review, 04-06-2015 (C.S.) 500-17-088761-153).

⁴ *N.F. c. CSSS A*, 2015 QCTAQ 02780.

HEALTH LAW TEAM UNDER THE DIRECTION OF SYLVAIN POIRIER

PIERRE-L. BARIBEAU	514 877-2965	pbaribeau@lavery.ca
PIERRE BEAUDOIN	418 266-3068	pbeaudoin@lavery.ca
ANNE BÉLANGER	514 877-3091	abelanger@lavery.ca
JÉRÔME BÉLANGER	514 877-3012	jebelanger@lavery.ca
CLAUDIA BÉRUBÉ	819 346-3661	cberube@lavery.ca
DAVE BOUCHARD	819 346-3411	dabouchard@lavery.ca
JULES BRIÈRE	418 266-3093	jbriere@lavery.ca
GENEVIÈVE CHAMBERLAND	819 346-2562	gchamberland@lavery.ca
MAGALI COURNOYER-PROULX	514 877-2930	mproulx@lavery.ca
MARIKA COUTURE-HOULE	819 346-0340	mcouturehoule@lavery.ca
RAYMOND DORAY, AD. E.	514 877-2913	rdoray@lavery.ca
SIMON GAGNÉ	514 877-2916	sgagne@lavery.ca
DANIELLE GAUTHIER	819 346-8073	dgauthier@lavery.ca
HÉLÈNE GAUVIN	418 266-3053	hgauvin@lavery.ca
CHERYL GILBERT	819 346-2207	cgilbert@lavery.ca
RHONDA GRINTUCH	514 877-3068	rgrintuch@lavery.ca
MARIE-JOSÉE HÉTU	819 373-4274	mjhetu@lavery.ca
VÉRONIQUE IEZZONI	514 877-3003	viezzoni@lavery.ca
MARIE-HÉLÈNE JOLICOEUR	514 877-2955	mhjolicoeur@lavery.ca
MAUDE LAFORTUNE-BÉLAIR	514 877-3077	mlafortunebelair@lavery.ca
ARIANE LAUZIÈRE	819 373-1881	alauziere@lavery.ca
MYRIAM LAVALLÉE	819 373-0339	mlavallee@lavery.ca
JOHN N. MCFARLANE	613 233-2674	jmcfarlane@lavery.ca
ZEÏNEB MELLOULI	514 877-3056	zmellouli@lavery.ca
PATRICK A. MOLINARI, MSRC	514 877-3079	pmolinari@lavery.ca
VÉRONIQUE MORIN, CRIA	514 877-3082	vmorin@lavery.ca
JEAN-FRANÇOIS PAGÉ	819 346-7999	jfpag@lavery.ca
CATHERINE PARISEAULT	514 878-5448	cpariseault@lavery.ca
SYLVAIN POIRIER	514 877-2942	spoirier@lavery.ca
LOUIS ROCHETTE	418 266-3077	lrochette@lavery.ca
VIRGINIE SIMARD	514 877-2931	vsimard@lavery.ca
LOUIS THIBAUT-GERMAIN	418 266-3067	lthibaultgermain@lavery.ca
CHARLES OLIVIER THIBEAULT	514 877-3086	cothibeault@lavery.ca

LAVERY, AN OVERVIEW

- ▶ In business since 1913
- ▶ More than 200 lawyers
- ▶ The largest independent law firm in Quebec
- ▶ World Services Group (WSG) a national and international network

▶ lavery.ca

CONTACTS

- MONTREAL** ▶ 1 Place Ville Marie 514 871-1522
- QUEBEC CITY** ▶ 925 Grande Allée West 418 688-5000
- SHERBROOKE** ▶ Cité du Parc, 95 Jacques-Cartier Blvd. South 819 346-5058
- TROIS-RIVIÈRES** ▶ 1500 Royale Street 819 373-7000
- OTTAWA** ▶ 360 Albert Street 613 594-4936

Pour recevoir notre bulletin en français, veuillez envoyer un courriel à info@lavery.ca.

All rights of reproduction reserved.
This bulletin provides our clients with general comments on recent legal developments. The texts are not legal opinions. Readers should not act solely on the information contained herein.