

## SERIOUS BREACHES OF THE DUTY OF LOYALTY BY A HUMAN RESOURCES EMPLOYEE DISMISSAL UPHeld BY THE C.R.T.

PIERRE-L. BARIBEAU and JÉRÔME LAFLAMME

THE COMMISSION DES RELATIONS DU TRAVAIL,  
BOTH IN ITS INITIAL DECISION AND ON REVIEW,  
DISMISSED THE COMPLAINTS CHALLENGING THE  
DISMISSAL OF AN EMPLOYEE DESPITE THE ABSENCE  
OF PRIOR DISCIPLINARY MEASURES.

The complainant, who was hired in April 2011, held a position as an administration technician for the Human Resources Department of a CHSLD. In 2004, the complainant sought a position as staff management officer. This position was not offered to her the first time it became vacant due to the fact that she did not possess the necessary qualifications. After obtaining her Master's Degree in 2007, the complainant resumed her attempts to secure the position she sought. However, since the vacancy had already filled, her reclassification request was once again denied.

This refusal resulted in the complainant taking a series of actions and behaving in a way that created an unhealthy work environment in the Human Resources Department. The complainant said that she felt that she was being unnecessarily monitored by her superior while the latter took the position that she had only taken action in response to complaints made by the complainant's colleagues that she was engaging in improper behaviour. The investigation culminated in the employee being dismissed. The complainant went on to file a complaint under section 124 of the *Act respecting Labour Standards* (hereinafter the "ARLS").

In the meantime however, the complainant had begun to record conversations she was having with her superiors. The employer was only made aware of these recordings at the hearing before the Commission des relations du travail (hereinafter, the "CRT"). The complainant had also filed a complaint under the ARLS against one of her colleagues for psychological harassment. However, this complaint was withdrawn before making it to a hearing.

The CRT dismissed the complaint contesting the termination, particularly for the following reasons:

- ▶ The clandestine recordings made by the complainant without a valid reason broke the trust between her and her employer and constituted a serious breach of her duty of loyalty.
- ▶ The psychological harassment complaint was unfounded and by falsely accusing her managers of such behaviour, the complainant had also committed a serious breach of her duty of loyalty.

Dissatisfied with this decision, the complainant filed for review with the administrative review division of the CRT.

### THE DECISION BY THE CRT ON ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW

On administrative review, the CRT confirmed that the complainant's decision to file a psychological harassment complaint against her colleagues that she knew was unfounded constituted a serious breach of her duty of loyalty. This was a clear case of the abusive exercise of the right to file a complaint. The CRT dismissed the complainant's submissions to the effect that her complaint had to be founded given that the Commission des normes du travail had reviewed it and accepted to transfer it to the CRT for hearing, on the grounds that nothing could be inferred from that simple fact.

Furthermore, the CRT also agreed with the initial panel with respect to the legal qualification of the complainant's actions when recording several conversations she had with her superiors. Even if the employer had not taken this fact into consideration when dismissing the complainant given that it was unaware of the very existence of these recordings until the initial hearing, the CRT was of the view that this constituted evidence of facts which occurred subsequent to the dismissal and which was admissible in the case under review.

In fact, this evidence confirmed that the employer's conclusions that the complainant's bad faith and disloyalty constituted a basis for her dismissal were justified and it was not unreasonable for the tribunal to take such evidence into account. By acting in such a way, without a valid reason and for the sole purpose of supporting her harassment allegations after the fact, the complainant broke the trust which is necessary in any employment relationship. This again constituted a serious breach of her duty of loyalty.

Finally, the CRT confirmed the reasoning of the initial panel with respect to its conclusion that the principle of progressive discipline did not apply in this situation. It noted that this principle cannot apply in the case of a serious fault, such as a breach of the duty of loyalty, or in the case of irreversible conduct on the part of an employee. Holding that the complainant's behaviour met both these criteria, her employer was justified in dismissing her despite an unblemished disciplinary record.

This decision is currently the subject of judicial review proceedings before the Superior Court.

#### PIERRE-L. BARIBEAU

514 877-2965  
pbaribeau@lavery.ca

#### JÉRÔME LAFLAMME

514 877-2936  
jlaflamme@lavery.ca

#### YOU CAN CONTACT THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS OF THE LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT GROUP WITH ANY QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS NEWSLETTER.

PIERRE-L. BARIBEAU pbaribeau@lavery.ca 514 877-2965  
 PIERRE BEAUDOIN pbeaudoin@lavery.ca 418 266-3068  
 JEAN BEAUREGARD jbeauregard@lavery.ca 514 877-2976  
 AMÉLIE BÉLISLE abelisle@lavery.ca 514 877-2929  
 VALÉRIE BELLE-ISLE vbelleisle@lavery.ca 418 266-3059  
 DAVE BOUCHARD dabouchard@lavery.ca 819 346-3411  
 JEAN BOULET jboulet@lavery.ca 819 373-4370  
 ÉLODIE BRUNET ebrunet@lavery.ca 514 878-5422  
 BRITTANY CARSON bcarson@lavery.ca 514 877-3027  
 GENEVIÈVE CHAMBERLAND gchamberland@lavery.ca 819 346-2562  
 NICOLAS COURCY ncourcy@lavery.ca 819 373-8225  
 MAGALI COURNOYER-PROULX mproulx@lavery.ca 514 877-2930  
 MICHEL DESROSNIERS mdesrosniers@lavery.ca 514 877-2939  
 NORMAN A. DIONNE ndionne@lavery.ca 514 877-3070  
 JOSÉE DUMOULIN jdumoulin@lavery.ca 514 877-3088  
 SIMON GAGNÉ sgagne@lavery.ca 514 877-2916  
 DANIELLE GAUTHIER dgauthier@lavery.ca 819 346-8073  
 MICHEL GÉLINAS mgelinas@lavery.ca 514 877-2984  
 RHONDA GRINTUCH rgrintuch@lavery.ca 514 877-3068  
 MARIE-JOSÉE HÉTU mjhetu@lavery.ca 819 373-4274  
 MARIE-HÉLÈNE JOLICOEUR mhjolicoeur@lavery.ca 514 877-2955  
 NICOLAS JOUBERT njoubert@lavery.ca 514 877-2918  
 PAMÉLA KELLY-NADEAU pkellynadeau@lavery.ca 418 266-3072  
 VALÉRIE KOROSZ vkoroszs@lavery.ca 514 877-3028  
 JOSIANE L'HEUREUX jlheureux@lavery.ca 514 877-2954  
 JÉRÔME LAFLAMME jlaflamme@lavery.ca 514 877-2936  
 NADINE LANDRY nlandry@lavery.ca 514 878-5668  
 CLAUDE LAROSE, CRIA clarose@lavery.ca 418 266-3062  
 ARIANE LAUZIÈRE alauzriere@lavery.ca 819 373-1881  
 MYRIAM LAVALLÉE mlavallee@lavery.ca 819 373-0339  
 GUY LAVOIE guy.lavoie@lavery.ca 514 877-3030  
 GUY LEMAY, CRIA glemay@lavery.ca 514 877-2929  
 CARL LESSARD clessard@lavery.ca 514 877-2963  
 CATHERINE MAHEU cmaheu@lavery.ca 514 877-2912  
 ZEÏNEB MELLOULI zmellouli@lavery.ca 514 877-3056  
 VÉRONIQUE MORIN, CRIA vmorin@lavery.ca 514 877-3082  
 JEAN-FRANÇOIS PAGÉ jfpage@lavery.ca 819 346-7999  
 FRANÇOIS PARENT fparent@lavery.ca 514 877-3089  
 HUBERT PÉPIN hpepin@lavery.ca 819 346-0638  
 SYLVAIN POIRIER spoirier@lavery.ca 514 877-2942  
 MARIE-HÉLÈNE RIVERIN mhriverin@lavery.ca 418 266-3082  
 MÉLANIE SAURIOL msauriol@lavery.ca 514 877-3078  
 CLAUDE VILLENEUVE cvilleneuve@lavery.ca 819 346-4117

**SUBSCRIPTION:** YOU MAY SUBSCRIBE, CANCEL YOUR SUBSCRIPTION OR MODIFY YOUR PROFILE BY VISITING PUBLICATIONS ON OUR WEBSITE AT [lavery.ca](http://lavery.ca) OR BY CONTACTING PATRICK PLANTE AT 514 871-1522, EXTENSION 3364.

► [lavery.ca](http://lavery.ca)

© Copyright 2014 ► LAVERY, DE BILLY, L.L.P. ► LAWYERS

The content of this text provides our clients with general comments on recent legal developments.

The text is not a legal opinion. Readers should not act solely on the basis of the information contained herein.

MONTREAL QUEBEC CITY SHERBROOKE TROIS-RIVIÈRES OTTAWA